

Absorbent-Adsorbates: Large Amphiphilic Janus Microgels as Droplet Stabilizers

Bobby Haney, Jörg G. Werner, David A. Weitz, and Subramanian Ramakrishnan

ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acsami.0c11408 • Publication Date (Web): 29 Jun 2020

Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on July 10, 2020

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

Absorbent-Adsorbates: Large Amphiphilic Janus Microgels as Droplet Stabilizers

Bobby Haney,¹ Jörg G. Werner,^{2,3} David A. Weitz,^{3,4} and Subramanian Ramakrishnan^{1*}


¹Department of Chemical and Biomedical Engineering, FAMU-FSU Engineering, Tallahassee, Florida 32310, USA.

²Department of Mechanical Engineering and Division of Materials Science and Engineering, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA.

³John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences and ⁴Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States.

*corresponding author – srama@eng.famu.fsu.edu

For Table of Contents Only



ABSTRACT

Microgel particles are cross-linked polymer networks that absorb certain liquids causing network expansion. The type of swelling-fluid and extent of volume change depends on the polymer-liquid interaction and the network's cross-link density. These colloidal gels can be used to stabilize emulsion drops by adsorbing to the interface of two immiscible fluids. However, to enhance the adsorption abilities of these predominantly hydrophilic gel particles, some degree of hydrophobicity is needed. An amphiphilic Janus microgel with spatially distinct lipophilic and hydrophilic sides is desired. Here, we report the fabrication of polyethylene glycol diacrylate/polypropylene glycol diacrylate Janus microgels (JM) using microfluidic drop making. The flow streams of the two separate and immiscible monomer solutions are brought into contact and intersected by a third immiscible fluid in a flow-focusing junction to form Janus droplets. The individual droplets are crosslinked via UV irradiation to form monodispersed microgel particles with opposing hydrophilic and hydrophobic 3D-networked polymer matrices. By combining two chemically different polymer gel networks, an amphiphilic emulsion stabilizer is formed that adsorbs to the oil/water interface while its faces absorb their respective water or hydrocarbon solvents. Both particle sides swell at the liquid/liquid interface as water in oil emulsions are stabilized and destabilized via thermal responsive hydrogel. Stimuli responsive droplets are demonstrated by adding a short chain oligo ethylene glycol acrylate molecule to the hydrogel formulation on the Janus microgel particle. Droplets stabilized by these particles experience a sudden increase in droplet diameter around 60°C. This work with absorbent particles may prove useful for applications in bio catalysis, fuel production, and oil transportation.

KEYWORDS: amphiphilic, emulsion, microgel, absorb, Janus particle, temperature responsive

INTRODUCTION

Emulsions are systems of two immiscible phases, usually water and oil, where one phase exists in the other as a dispersion of droplets. These droplets can be stabilized by small surface-active molecules or particles that prevent coalescence and subsequent full phase separation. Surfactants are commonly used for emulsion stabilization because of the presence of a hydrophilic and hydrophobic group within the same molecule that lowers the interfacial energy when adsorbed to an interface and provides a barrier to coalescence. Small molecule surfactants, however, in thermal equilibrium undergo constant desorption and adsorption from the water-oil interface onto an adjacent droplet or into the bulk continuous phase at room temperature^{1,2}. For this reason, rigid particles with a lower thermal to interfacial energy ratio have been employed as emulsion droplet stabilizers. Due to the large surface area and smaller thermal motion, irreversible adsorption at oil-water interfaces is observed for some nano- and microparticles with intermediate wettability of both fluids. Optimizing these adsorption abilities requires tuning of the particle hydrophilicity and thus, the contact angle between particle, oil, and water^{3,4}. Recent work on polymer microgel particles suggests that the particle modulus also influences adsorption and emulsion stability⁵.

Microgels are particles made up of crosslinked networks of macromolecules that can swell in certain solvents and, at moderate hydrophilicity, partition to the interface of oil and water. Common types of these colloidal gel particles are soft hydrogels that are able to swell with water. Deformations of the hydrogel particles at the interface has been shown to enhance emulsion stability due to the enhanced viscoelastic properties of the microgel layer⁶⁻⁸. Because microgels are naturally hydrophilic, only a small, non-swollen portion of the particles protrudes into the oil while a larger portion resides in the water causing the deformation of the soft particle. It is well understood, however, that emulsion stabilizers that sit at the liquid-liquid interface closest to a 90°

1
2
3 contact angle, maximize the desorption energy and subsequently enhance emulsion stability^{9,10}.
4
5 This can be realized with a stabilizer having distinct hydrophilic and hydrophobic sides resembling
6
7 a molecular surfactant amphiphile. For this reason, an amphiphilic microgel is desired with two
8
9 distinct hydrophilic and hydrophobic parts that will inherently prefer an oil-water interface as
10
11 opposed to the water phase alone. Amphiphilic Janus microgel particles would incorporate the
12
13 irreversible adsorption of a robust particle, the amphiphilicity of a surfactant molecule, and the
14
15 deformability of a microgel, into one stabilizer. Although there have been many reports on making
16
17 amphiphilic rigid particles through meticulous surface functionalization¹¹⁻¹³ and microfluidics¹⁴⁻
18
19 ¹⁸, soft amphiphilic microgel particles remain a challenge.

20
21
22
23
24 Current work towards the formation of an amphiphilic microgel is limited to Pickering emulsion
25
26 templating where microgels first migrate to the oil/water interface where one side can be
27
28 functionalized without the other¹⁹. This method, however, could not prevent rotation of the
29
30 microgels at the interface for controlled surface functionalization. To address this limitation there
31
32 has been work where using lightly crosslinked PNIPAM-co-MAA microgels allowed short
33
34 dangling chains on the particle surfaces to connect to neighboring microgels to prevent rotation²⁰.
35
36 Nevertheless, hydrogel surface functionalization via emulsion templating is used to selectively
37
38 modify one section of the microgel, but the tunability over how much of the particle is modified
39
40 is highly restricted by its initial wettability. A different technique may therefore be needed to
41
42 obtain truly amphiphilic microgel particles.
43
44
45
46

47
48 Microfluidic techniques have been used to fabricate Janus droplets with controlled geometry. Two
49
50 immiscible fluids that flow in parallel as the inner phases in microfluidic drop makers pinch off at
51
52 the drop-making junction as homogeneous two-phase drops with controlled volumetric ratios and
53
54 architectures^{15,21}. To gain polymeric microgels, these Janus droplets are crosslinked via
55
56
57

1
2
3 photopolymerization. This synthesis technique has major advantages over traditional emulsion
4 templating: while the precursor composition is controlled before injecting into the microfluidic
5 dropmaker, particle size, extent of crosslinking due to varied UV exposure, and ratio of hydrophilic
6 to hydrophobic surface are managed with the microfluidic method. The work herein, presents the
7 successful fabrication of an amphiphilic Janus microgel particle (JM) with two opposing oil and
8 water lyophilic sides that can stabilize oil-water emulsions. Polyethylene oxide and polypropylene
9 oxide are common polymers that have been studied for their use in many applications as
10 hydrophilic and hydrophobic molecules, respectively²²⁻²⁴. Using their acrylate analogues,
11 chemically crosslinked Janus particles with distinct hydrophilic and lipophilic parts are fabricated.
12 We used droplet microfluidic templating to synthesize large size (56 μm) amphiphilic Janus
13 microgels capable of selective swelling at both sides of the oil and water interface. Because of the
14 large water and oil absorption capacity of the two distinct sides, the Janus microgels exhibit very
15 strong interfacial adsorption. These gel particles represent emulsion stabilizers with two distinct
16 sides allowing them to adsorb at the water-oil interface due to the spatially selective absorption of
17 oil and water within the same microgel particle.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

41 **Amphiphilic Janus Microgel Synthesis**

42 Microfluidic drop-making permits the control of droplet polydispersity, size, and shape and has
43 been utilized for a variety of applications²⁵⁻²⁹. In a flow-focusing geometry, two counter-flowing
44 streams of the outer fluid, called the continuous phase, orthogonally intersect the inner phase fluid
45 at volume flowrate ratios of up to 100:1 respectively. At this intersection, the outer fluid shears
46 the inner fluid to induce formation and break-off of a droplet from the inner phase stream. The
47 inner fluid flowrates are 50 $\mu\text{L/hr}$ for the hydrophilic phase and 50 $\mu\text{L/hr}$ for the hydrophobic
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

1
2
3 solution for the entire duration of Janus particle synthesis. This translates to a 1-to-1 ratio by
4
5 volume between the two phases within the spherical Janus droplet with an approximate 50 μm
6
7 diameter for both hemispheres. This droplet is collected at a frequency of 100s of Hz and can be
8
9 crosslinked to retain its shape via ultraviolet light (UV). By choosing separate hydrophilic and
10
11 hydrophobic polymer solutions, we are able to form Janus droplets that are photo polymerized to
12
13 retain their droplet shape. Polyethylene glycol diacrylate and polypropylene glycol diacrylate
14
15 polymer solutions were used as the hydrophilic and hydrophobic gel side precursors respectively.
16
17
18
19
20 Figure 1a shows the Janus droplet formation where the two polymer precursor solutions come into
21
22 contact and are then sheared by fluorinated oil in the microfluidic flow-focusing device. Flowrates
23
24 are chosen to ensure laminar flow behavior for keeping the contact line between the polymer
25
26 solutions steady and parallel to the direction of fluid flow. At equal flowrates, the two polymer
27
28 solutions form a Janus droplet sheared by the continuous phase, fluorinated oil. As the droplets
29
30 flow downstream, they are exposed to a UV light and photo polymerization takes place. The
31
32 particles are collected and washed with acetone to obtain the Janus microgel seen in the confocal
33
34 microscopy image in figure 1b, where the hydrophobic side is fluorescently labeled red. The final
35
36 amphiphilic Janus microgels are anisotropic in shape with an average length from hydrophilic to
37
38 hydrophobic end of 56 μm (\pm 2.4 μm) and average width, across the intersection, of 28.5 μm (\pm
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 1.4 μm). Figures 1c and d show the size distributions.




Figure 1. Fabrication of Janus Microgels (JMs). (a) Janus droplet formation of polymer precursor solutions via shear by fluorinated oil in microfluidic flow-focusing device. (b) synthesized amphiphilic Janus microgels showing the distinctive hydrophilic (gray) and hydrophobic (red) sides washed and submerged in acetone. Scale bar is 50 μm. (c) histogram of final length (hydrophobic edge to hydrophilic) of Janus microgels (average 56 μm) with coefficient of variation (CV) as standard deviation/average length. (d) histogram of final width (particle intersection) of Janus microgels (average 28.5 μm) with coefficient of variation (CV) as standard deviation/average length.

Janus droplets formed in the microfluidic channels take on a spherical morphology, as seen in figure 1a, yet upon washing with acetone to remove the fluorinated oil (continuous phase), form anisotropic Janus microgel particles with aspect ratios around 2. Since the hydrogel precursor solution contains almost 50% water, upon polymerization a hydrogel swollen with water is formed. After rinsing and submerging the particles in acetone, the hydrogel side shrinks much more than the hydrophobic side due to the removal of water. While shrinking occurs in the radial direction for spherical hydrogels, the hydrogel hemisphere on these Janus microgels seem to collapse in the radial direction of its circular base, which is in direct contact with the hydrophobic side. The hydrogel shrinking therefore morphs the initially round gel particles into rod-like shapes due to a larger decrease in microgel intersection width than in the length. We hypothesize that upon

1
2
3 washing the JMs with acetone, shrinkage occurs faster at the polymer phase intersection due to a
4 possible non-homogeneous crosslinking. Although upon crosslinking the JM, one side is
5 composed of a polyethylene network and the other is a polypropylene network, it is possible that
6 some mixing of the monomers occurred at their intersection when flowing in the microfluidic
7 device as liquids. The JM network intersection would therefore have a different crosslinking than
8 the pure polymer JM sides due to spatial concentration variations at the JM intersection. This
9 shape persists in all experiments including when particles are adsorbed to an interface.

10
11
12 The differences in polymer characteristics can also be qualitatively observed in figure 2, where
13 scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Cryo-SEM images show the dried Janus microgels
14 (figure 2a) and its internal fracture surface (figure 2c, d) in water, respectively. In Figure 2a and
15 2b, the JMs with dehydrated hydrogel and slightly larger hydrophobic gel sides can be identified.
16 The JMs keep their anisotropic shape even when dried from acetone and under vacuum during
17 SEM. If submerged in water, the hydrogel is expected to swell and the hydrophobic polypropylene
18 gel side (called organogel due to its ability to absorb organic solvent) to remain collapsed. Figure
19 2c shows the cross section of one JM fractured under cryogenic conditions. The hydrogel is the
20 only polymer network that expands in the water showing a distinct transition from hydrophobic to
21 hydrophilic in figure 2d.




Figure 2. SEM images of the dried particles in air (a) and (b) magnified image where the dehydrated hydrogel side is collapsed smaller than the organogel side. Cryo-SEM images of the internal fracture surface of the amphiphilic Janus microgel in water. (c) one JM with hydrogel and organogel side notation. (d) magnified image of the interface between the swollen hydrogel and the collapsed organogel on the same particle.

Microgel Swelling

While amphiphilic microgels can adsorb to the interface of oil and water droplets, each side of the particle also absorbs its respective solvent. This leads to swelling of the gel sides and a subsequent increase in overall microgel volume. Swelling by water allows deformation and interpenetration by the solvent in the bulk of the hydrogel, while swelling by toluene has the same effect on the

1
2
3 organogel. Figure 3a shows the amount of solvent absorbed when the initially dry hydrophilic
4 polyethylene gel and hydrophobic polypropylene gel sides of the composite particle swell while
5
6 1) immersed in only the pure solvents (water or toluene) and 2) at the water-toluene interface.
7
8 Adsorbed solvent amounts were estimated based on the difference between the swollen and the
9
10 collapsed paraboloid volume to yield the volume increase (fluid absorbed). In every sample, this
11
12 estimate assumes the collapsed volume amount is pure polymer. Solvent amount absorbed for the
13
14 hydrogel side in pure solvent represents its size change on the JM when dispersed in water, while
15
16 amount absorbed for the hydrophobic polypropylene gel side represents its solvent uptake when
17
18 the JM is in only toluene. When at the interface of water and toluene, both gel sides are separately
19
20 measured for their respective solvent absorption. Upon washing the synthesized microgels with
21
22 acetone, they are allowed to dry in air as seen in figure 3b. Figure 3c shows the resulting swell of
23
24 the hydrophilic polyethylene oxide side when the initially dry JM is submerged in water. The un-
25
26 colored hydrogel swells while the hydrophobic side remains collapsed. Once submerged in
27
28 toluene, the red dyed hydrophobic polypropylene oxide side swells as seen in figure 3d where the
29
30 hydrogel remains collapsed. Finally, by adding roughly 10 microliters of water, 1mL of toluene,
31
32 and slightly shaking the vial, we see the JMs at the interface of a water drop surrounded by toluene
33
34 in figure 3e.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 An interesting aspect of this particle synthesis method is that two chemically different crosslinked
44
45 polymer networks with opposing swelling characteristics are spatially distinct but attached
46
47 together in one particle,. In both cases of the JM submerged in a pure solvent or the particle at the
48
49 oil-water interphase, the organogel side is able to absorb a larger amount of toluene than the
50
51 hydrogel does water. This can be seen in Figure 3a where the red bar (amount of toluene absorbed)
52
53 is higher than the gray bar (water absorbed). An adsorbed, swollen JM therefore has an average
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

organogel to hydrogel volume ratio of 1.1 where the hydrophobic side is slightly larger than hydrophilic. Despite equal flowrates during synthesis, characteristics of the gel network seem to control the swollen particle Janus balance. The Flory-Rehner equation is commonly used to characterize equilibrium swelling of crosslinked polymers to obtain the crosslink density, n , assuming no ionized polymer groups^{30,31}:



$$\varphi + \ln(1 - \varphi) + \chi\varphi^2 = nV \left[\frac{\varphi}{2} - \varphi^{\frac{1}{3}} \right] \quad (1)$$

where φ is the volume fraction of polymer in the swollen network, χ is the polymer-solvent interaction parameter, and V is the molar volume of the solvent. The swelling ratio taken as the ratio of swollen lobe volume to collapsed gel volume is used to calculate φ where

$$\frac{\text{volume of gel when swollen}}{\text{volume of gel when collapsed}} = \frac{\varphi_o}{\varphi} \quad (2)$$

and φ_o represents the polymer volume fraction in the collapsed state. The swell ratios of PEO in water is 21.42 and the organogel (PPO) in toluene is 9.64. The polymer-solvent interaction parameter for PPO in toluene is equal to 0.51, yet, network swelling occurs in all experiments conducted in this work. Using the average swell ratios and particle-solvent interaction parameters for PEO in water (0.426)³² and PPO in toluene (0.51)³³ in the Flory-Rehner equation for equilibrium swelling of crosslinked polymers, the crosslink densities of the hydrogel and organogel are approximated to be $3.25 \cdot 10^{-5}$ mol/cm³ and $6.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$ mol/cm³ respectively. These data show that the hydrophobic side has an order of magnitude less crosslinks per volume and may be capable of expansion for more of its solvent uptake than the hydrogel. The less stiff organogel may be capable of stretching its chains more than the hydrogel resulting in the slight difference in final swollen volumes on the JM at the water/toluene interface. To corroborate this conclusion, we measure the modulus of the bulk polymer gels at the JM compositions when

1
2
3 swollen in their respective solvents under shear on an Anton Parr rheometer using parallel plates.
4
5 Storage modulus for the crosslinked polypropylene oxide saturated with toluene is 8.63 kPa.
6
7 Saturated and submerged in water, the storage modulus of the polyethylene oxide gel is 18.1 kPa.
8
9
10 The higher modulus in the hydrogel than in organogel is consistent with the differences in crosslink
11
12 densities.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 3. Swelling characteristics of the amphiphilic Janus microgels. (a) amount of absorbed water or toluene for the hydrophilic and hydrophobic sides respectively; swelling either individually in the pure solvents or simultaneously at the water/toluene interface. (b) Janus microgel sitting in air. (c) Janus microgel sitting in water with the hydrophilic side swollen. (d) Janus microgel sitting in toluene with the hydrophobic gel swollen. (e) Janus microgel sitting at the interface of a water drop in toluene with

1
2
3 both sides swollen. The intersection widths indicated in (c), (d), and (e) are about 15.2, 18.3, and 28.7
4 μm respectively. Scale bars are 50 μm .
5
6
7

8 We also observe that the JM distinctive gel sides swell less when submerged in pure toluene or
9 pure water compared to when both sides are swollen at the same time at the interface. This may
10 be due to a restriction of the polymer chains from expanding along the JM's hemispherical radial
11 direction where the two sides are connected. When the JM is in only water, the hydrogel swells
12 while the hydrophobic side remains collapsed which may prevent the full expansion of
13 polyethylene oxide chains that are connected to the polypropylene oxide network at the JM mid-
14 section. This same effect is seen when the JM is in only toluene and the organogel swelling is
15 limited. Nonetheless, the overall volume increase when the JM particles are adsorbed to a droplet
16 surface, seen quantitatively in figure 3a and qualitatively when comparing figure 3b to 3e, (from
17 dry JM to JM at the oil-water interface), highlights the swelling nature of the gel sides that gives
18 them their interesting adsorption properties.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 **Emulsion stabilization**

35
36 The amphiphilic Janus microgels in this work possess two distinctly different sides on one particle
37 that swell with either water or an organic solvent, respectively. Having these opposing hydrogel
38 and organogel domains on an emulsion stabilizer promotes strong adsorption to the water/oil
39 interface when the hydrophilic side absorbs water and the hydrophobic side absorbs organic
40 solvent. While these JMs are much larger than colloidal microgels, their amphiphilic nature makes
41 them ideal stabilizers of oil-in-water or water-in-oil emulsions. The JMs form stable (7 months
42 to-date) water in toluene emulsions at room temperature where the average droplet size is a
43 function of their concentration in water. Figure 4 shows the relationship between particle
44 concentration in the dispersed fluid phase (water) and emulsion droplet size. At constant JM
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 amount, the sample contained 100, 80, 60, and 40 μL of water at 120, 150, 200, and 300 mg/g JM
4
5 to water respectively. When the weight ratio of JMs to water is low (120 mg/g), polydispersed
6
7 spherical droplets of approximately 350 microns in diameter are obtained that covered with the
8
9 Janus particles preventing coalescence. At higher JM content (300 mg/g), the droplets are smaller
10
11 and yet stable, as shown in the inset of figure 4a. Figures 4b and 4c show water in toluene
12
13 emulsions in the glass vials where droplets are sedimented due to water's higher density. Like
14
15 solid-particle stabilized emulsions, there is a negative correlation between the amount of particles
16
17 and emulsion droplet size when these Janus microgels are employed. As the JM particle to water
18
19 weight ratio is increased more surface area can be stabilized leading to smaller drops.
20
21 Polydispersity presented in the form of standard deviation divided by the average drops sizes also
22
23 decreased with particle concentration: 41% at 120 mg/g and 30% for 300 mg/g. To show oil-in-
24
25 water stabilizing ability, toluene in water emulsions were also created as seen in figure S1 of
26
27 supporting information. We can accredit the emulsion droplet formation to the amphiphilic nature
28
29 of these JMs as opposed to gel particles formed from polymers of just one type. Using the
30
31 microfluidic methods described for the JM formation, hydrogel microspheres of only the JM
32
33 hydrophilic side composition were synthesized and added to water and toluene systems at the same
34
35 concentrations studied for the JMs. Large ($\sim 3\text{mm}$) and very densely covered irregular shaped
36
37 water drops were possible at hydrogel concentrations of 300 mg/g in the water. With the same
38
39 vial and sample, in all experiments with lower particle concentration, no emulsion droplets could
40
41 be formed and hydrogel microspheres can be seen in water films along the glass vial surfaces as
42
43 shown in figure S3a and b of supporting information. Likewise, Organogel microspheres of only
44
45 the JM hydrophobic side composition were also synthesized and added to water/toluene systems.
46
47 As shown in figure S4b of supporting information, at high particle concentration in water, no
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

discernable particle-covered emulsion droplets are found. Barely covered water droplets stick to the bottom of the vial with most organogel microspheres unattached. At lower particle concentrations, the water droplet exists as a large drop at the bottom of toluene. While the “water loving” gel particles absorb and adsorb to the water, the hydrophobic polymer gels may prefer the toluene phase causing water to remain unemulsified. Only use of these polymer networks as two separate faces on one particle affords the microgel anchoring needed to form these large emulsion droplets along this concentration range.




Figure 4. Changing emulsion droplet size with amount of JM stabilizers. (a) drop diameter as a function of particle concentration for water in toluene emulsions. (b) water in toluene emulsions sedimented on the bottom of the vial due to density differences using 120mg JM to 1g of water. (c) optical micrographs of the emulsion droplets at 120 mg/g concentration. (d) water in toluene emulsions on bottom of vial with 300mg JM to 1g of water. (e) optical micrographs of the emulsion droplets at 300 mg/g concentration. Scale bars are 500 μm .

Temperature Response

Using microgels as stabilizers promotes stimuli-responsive emulsion droplets via the stabilizer's ability to swell and de-swell upon changes in temperature. The current work uses polyethylene glycol and polypropylene glycol as the hydrophilic and hydrophobic sides on the particle, respectively. The crosslinked amphiphilic Janus microgel is therefore similar to a commonly used nonionic macromolecular surfactant composed of the triblock copolymer - PEO-PPO-PEO³⁴. These amphiphilic triblock copolymer chains are often used to form temperature responsive physically crosslinked and water swollen hydrogels due to their spontaneous assembly into micelles at room temperature and phase separation with PEO dehydration at elevated temperatures.³⁵ We therefore expect our Janus microgels to respond to thermal fluctuations in a similar manner. It's been demonstrated that careful control of the hydrophobicity of short oligo ethylene glycol acrylate (OEGA) polymers via choice of backbone and ethylene glycol chain length allows tunable thermo-responsive behavior³⁶. For example, the copolymerization of two short chain oligo ethylene glycol monomers where one is more hydrophobic than the other, has been shown to cause a decrease in the lower critical solution temperature³⁷. We therefore incorporated an ethylene glycol monomer with four ethylene glycol repeat units connected to each acrylate, ethoxylated trimethylolpropane triacrylate (ETPTA), into the aqueous polyethylene glycol diacrylate solution in an attempt to decrease the VPTT of the crosslinked gel. ETPTA is less hydrophilic than our PEGDA, most likely due to the lower number of PEG units per acrylate and its hydrophobic cross-linking group. Figure 5 shows the effects of temperature on average drop size for emulsions stabilized by amphiphilic Janus microgel particles with and without the addition of the short chain OEGAs where both JM concentrations are 120 mg/g JMs to water with 100 μ L of water. While there is an increase in droplet size with increasing temperature for the emulsions formed with Janus microgels of polyethylene oxide and polypropylene oxide alone, the

response is much more pronounced with the addition of ETPTA on the hydrophilic side of the crosslinked particle as seen in figure 5a. Figure 5b and c show the JM-OEGA particle covered droplets at 19 and 80°C respectively where a distinct size increase can be seen. This transition at higher temperatures could be useful for the temperature-triggered release of oil or water in emulsion systems. Figure 5d and e show the original JM particle covered droplets at 19 and 80°C respectively where there is less of an obvious droplet size change. In this regard, the JMs without OEGA may find use in emulsion systems that require elevated temperatures.




Figure 5. Temperature response of emulsions stabilized by amphiphilic Janus microgels. (a) emulsion drop diameter as a function of temperature. (b-c) droplets stabilized by JMs with additional oligomer functionality increasing in size from 19°C to 80°C respectively. (d-e) droplets stabilized by JMs with no additional oligomer functionality increasing in size from 19°C to 80°C respectively. Scale bars are 500 μm.

Because the stabilizer deformation at the interface can depend on environmental conditions, the mechanism governing emulsion stabilization with gel particles is not well understood. We can, however, assume the temperature effects on our emulsion may be due to JM hydrogel side response as opposed to the organogel. The JM organogel side remains unaffected by the temperatures used in this work as observed by monitoring and measuring single crosslinked polypropylene oxide

1
2
3 microspheres along our temperature range (Figure S2a, supporting information). Hence, there are
4
5 a couple reasons that the emulsion droplets covered with JMs with OEGAs may have experienced
6
7 sudden destabilization at a specific temperature. Some researchers have suggested that this
8
9 temperature responsive destabilization may be due to retraction of the dangling polymer chains
10
11 between adjacent microgels that were necessary for stability^{5,8}. They report that increasing
12
13 temperature reduces the deformability of microgels and decreases their stabilizer efficiency via
14
15 reduced chain interpenetration between touching microgel surfaces. This would lead to less
16
17 mechanically robust emulsion droplet interfaces that are more susceptible to coalescence.
18
19 Although our polymeric JM particle hydrogel portions do collapse and become less deformable at
20
21 increased temperature (Figure S2b, supporting information), this mechanism for destabilization is
22
23 less likely due to the presence of an unaffected hydrophobic side. At the elevated temperature,
24
25 while chain interpenetration may be reduced for adjacent hydrogel sides on the Janus microgel,
26
27 the organogel side surfaces may continue to link with its nearest neighbors. Further study of the
28
29 interfacial elasticity as a function of temperature for emulsions stabilized by the JM particles is
30
31 therefore needed. Destabilization upon temperature change may occur for a simpler reason. One
32
33 may be that shrinkage of the hydrogel side at the higher temperature reduced, not just its size, but
34
35 also the overall size of the particles (as discussed above) leading to reduced interfacial coverage
36
37 and subsequent droplet coalescence. It is true, however, that at the elevated temperature, hydrogen
38
39 bonds are cleaved from the ethylene glycol chains causing the hydrogel side to become more
40
41 hydrophobic. This results in a more completely hydrophobic stabilizer that slightly prefers the oil
42
43 phase to the interface, leading to destabilization. Destabilization may therefore occur due to
44
45 simultaneous hydrogel collapsing at the elevated temperature and decrease in overall particle size
46
47 via de-swelling of an individual side. Nevertheless, to gain a true understanding of stability and
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 destabilization of these gel-particle covered droplets, thermodynamic relationships analogous to
4 rigid particle stabilization of emulsions are needed. Yet, these relationships are nontrivial. For
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

destabilization of these gel-particle covered droplets, thermodynamic relationships analogous to rigid particle stabilization of emulsions are needed. Yet, these relationships are nontrivial. For example, while rigid particle interfacial adsorption can be characterized by the three-phase water-oil-particle contact angle, the water/oil interface sits inside the Janus microgel particle suggesting an alternative adsorption mechanism. More research is therefore needed on our system of hydrophilic and hydrophobic polymers on two separate sides of an emulsion stabilizer.

CONCLUSION

Amphiphilic Janus microgel particles were synthesized using the co-flow of two immiscible polymer solutions in a microfluidic drop-making device. Crosslinking the solutions together in one drop via exposure to ultraviolet light leads to hydrophobic and hydrophilic 3D crosslink polymer networks on opposite sides of one particle. When the Janus microgels are placed in water, the hydrogel absorbs the solvent and swells leaving the organogel side collapsed. Likewise, when the Janus microgels are placed in only organic solvent toluene, the organogel absorbs and swells while the hydrogel side remains collapsed. Both sides swell when at the interface of water and toluene while the organogel volume is about 10% larger due to its more flexible network and lower crosslink density. Like rigid particle stabilized emulsions, the JM stabilized emulsions droplet size varies indirectly with particle concentration and prevent coalescence for months. Adding a short chain oligo ethylene glycol acrylate molecule to the hydrogel formulation permits temperature responsive action like that seen in the linear polymer analogues. Droplets stabilized by these particles experience a sudden increase in droplet diameter around 60°C. While the JM organogel remain unaffected, the hydrogel polyethylene chains are dehydrated, rendering the JM more hydrophobic overall. The amphiphilic particulate stabilizers studied here are larger than the typical colloids used in application (~1µm). Future work requires decreasing the particle sizes via smaller

1
2
3 microfluidic channels or high shear homogenizers to form small polymer droplets. Nonetheless,
4 this work with absorbent particles may prove useful for applications requiring the uptake-release
5 of active substances or the stabilization of hydrocarbon water systems.
6
7
8
9

10 METHODS

11 **Microfluidic Device and Particle Synthesis**

12
13 A flow focusing PDMS microfluidics device, fabricated using soft lithography, is used to form
14 Janus droplets in 100x100 micron channels. Polyethylene glycol diacrylate (Mn 700) purchased
15 from Sigma Aldrich, water, and 2-Hydroxy-2-methylpropiophenone (HMP) photoinitiator from
16 Sigma Aldrich were mixed at 50, 49, and 1 wt% respectively to form the hydrogel precursor
17 solution. Polypropylene glycol diacrylate (Mn 800) purchased from Sigma Aldrich and HMP
18 photoinitiator were mixed at 99 and 1 wt% respectively to form the organogel precursor solution.
19 These two polymer solutions combine to form the inner phase stream in the microfluidic device.
20 HFE-7500 Nevec engineered fluid and 2 vol% fluoro-surfactant were used as the continuous phase
21 stream. All fluids were drawn into syringes and pumped into the microfluidic device using
22 Harvard PHD 2000 series; Harvard Apparatus syringe pumps. At a combined flow rate of 0.1
23 mL/hr, the inner phase stream is sheared at the intersection of the microfluidic device by the
24 continuous phase stream (2 mL/hr) to induce droplet break-off. The double-sided Janus liquid
25 droplets flow downstream into a 200 μm inner diameter glass capillary where they are exposed to
26 ultraviolet light at 1.52 W/cm², for 3 seconds, at a 2.5 cm distance from an Excelitas OmniCure
27 S1500 UV system with a >350 nm filter. The crosslinked Janus microgel particles are collected
28 in the continuous phase and washed with acetone 5 times to remove any unreacted polymer,
29 fluorinated surfactant, and the HFE-7500 fluid. The particles are allowed to dry to measure dry
30 weight and re-dispersed into the desired solvent (water or toluene) for the experiments.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Confocal Imaging

Amphiphilic Janus microgels were imaged using a Leica SP5 confocal microscope. Nile red fluorescent dye (excitation 543 nm) was added to the hydrophobic polypropylene polymer solution before particle synthesis to allow identification of the organogel side of the Janus microgel in all experiments. Image J was used in conjunction to measure the dimensions of the collapsed and swollen Janus microgel sides for volume calculations. All volume measurements were calculated treating the particle sides as paraboloids.

SEM Imaging

For SEM imaging, washed Janus microgels were dispersed in acetone, pipetted onto a copper grid, and left to air dry of acetone. 5nm of platinum were then sputter coated onto the surfaces of the particles to prevent electron charging during imaging. To obtain Cryo-SEM images, Janus microgels were first dispersed in water to allow the hydrogel to swell. Janus microgels dispersed in water were deposited on an aluminum specimen holder and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The sample was then transferred under vacuum to a preparation chamber equipped with a blade used to fracture the frozen sample. Once fractured, the sample was coated by a layer of platinum and transferred to the cooled SEM for imaging. Observations were then carried out with a Zeiss Ultra55 field emission scanning electron microscope equipped with liquid nitrogen cooled sample preparation and transfer units.

Emulsion Formation

Amphiphilic Janus microgel stabilized emulsions were formed by mixing particle, water, and toluene in a glass vial. Washed and dried Janus microgel particles were assembled in a glass vial. 3 mL of toluene were added to the vial followed immediately by the desired amount of water (20

1
2
3 – 100 μ L) and the contents were vortexed for 1 minute to obtain emulsified water droplets at the
4
5 bottom of continuous toluene.
6
7

8 **Temperature Tests**

9
10 Temperature tests on emulsion destabilization were conducted by heating the glass vial contents.
11
12 Janus microgels with oligo ethylene glycol acrylate additions were synthesized using polyethylene
13
14 glycol diacrylate, ethoxylated trimethylolpropane triacrylate, water, and 2-Hydroxy-2-
15
16 methylpropiophenone at 30, 20, 49, and 1 wt% respectively as the hydrogel precursor solution.
17
18 Emulsions were formed in a glass vial at room temperature, imaged, and immersed in an oil bath
19
20 to increase temperature. After sitting at a specific temperature for 30 minutes, the emulsions were
21
22 removed from the oil bath, immediately vortexed (to homogenize at that temperature), and imaged
23
24 without further shaking. This was repeated 3 times for emulsions at 19, 40, 50, 60, and 80 degrees
25
26 Celsius.
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

34
35 *Email: srama@eng.famu.fsu.edu

36
37 Phone: 001 (850) 410-6159
38
39
40

41 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

42
43
44
45 B. Haney and S. Ramakrishnan would like to acknowledge funding from NSF FAMU CREST
46
47 center award # 1735968 for current work. This work was performed in part at the Harvard
48
49 MRSEC (Grant DMR-1420570) and the Center for Nanoscale Systems (CNS), a member of the
50
51 National Nanotechnology Coordinated Infrastructure Network (NNCI), which is supported by
52
53 the National Science Foundation under NSF award no. 1541959. CNS is part of Harvard
54
55
56
57

1
2
3 University. D. Weitz and J. Werner acknowledges partial support from the National Science
4
5 Foundation (NSF) under the award no. DMR-1708729.
6
7
8
9
10

11 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

12 **Supporting Information**

13
14
15 This information is available free of charge.

16
17
18
19 Alternative oil in water emulsions, Figure S1 (PDF)

20
21
22 Temperature response of Janus particle sides when synthesized as pure polymer microspheres
23
24 unattached to the other polymer network, Figure S2 (PDF)

25
26
27 Emulsion formation ability of pure hydrogel microspheres, Figure S3 (PDF)

28
29
30 Emulsion formation ability of pure organogel microspheres, Figure S4 (PDF)
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 REFERENCES

- 46
47
48
49
50
51 1 Binks, B. P. Particles as surfactants - similarities and differences. *Current Opinion in Colloid &*
52 *Interface Science* **7**, 21-41, doi:10.1016/s1359-0294(02)00008-0 (2002).
53
54 2 Jin, F. & Stebe, K. J. The Effects of a Diffusion Controlled Surfactant on a Viscous Drop Injected
55 into a Viscous Medium. *Physics of Fluids* **19**, doi:10.1063/1.2775055 (2007).
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Cao, W., Huang, R. L., Qi, W., Su, R. X. & He, Z. M. Self-Assembly of Amphiphilic Janus Particles
4 into Monolayer Capsules for Enhanced Enzyme Catalysis in Organic Media. *Acs Applied Materials*
5 & *Interfaces* **7**, 465-473, doi:10.1021/am5065156 (2015).
- 6
7 Wei, D., Ge, L. L., Lu, S. H., Li, J. J. & Guo, R. Janus Particles Templated by Janus Emulsions and
8 Application as a Pickering Emulsifier. *Langmuir* **33**, 5819-5828,
9 doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.7b00939 (2017).
- 10
11 Li, Z. F., Geisel, K., Richtering, W. & Ngai, T. Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) Microgels at the Oil-
12 Water Interface: Adsorption Kinetics. *Soft Matter* **9**, 9939-9946, doi:10.1039/c3sm52168k
13 (2013).
- 14
15 Brugger, B. & Richtering, W. Emulsions Stabilized by Stimuli-Sensitive Poly(N-
16 isopropylacrylamide)-co-Methacrylic Acid Polymers: Microgels Versus Low Molecular Weight
17 Polymers. *Langmuir* **24**, 7769-7777, doi:10.1021/la800522h (2008).
- 18
19 Brugger, B., Vermant, J. & Richtering, W. Interfacial Layers of Stimuli-Responsive Poly-(N-
20 isopropylacrylamide-co-Methacrylic acid) (PNIPAM-co-MAA) Microgels Characterized by
21 Interfacial Rheology and Compression Isotherms. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **12**,
22 14573-14578, doi:10.1039/c0cp01022g (2010).
- 23
24 Destribats, M., Lapeyre, V., Wolfs, M., Sellier, E., Leal-Calderon, F., Ravaine, V., Schmitt, V. Soft
25 Microgels as Pickering Emulsion Stabilisers: Role of Particle Deformability. *Soft Matter* **7**, 7689-
26 7698, doi:10.1039/c1sm05240c (2011).
- 27
28 Anachkov, S. E., Lesov, I., Zanini, M., Kralchevsky, P. A., Denkov, N. D., Isa, L. Particle
29 Detachment From Fluid Interfaces: Theory Vs. Experiments. *Soft Matter* **12**, 7632-7643,
30 doi:10.1039/c6sm01716a (2016).
- 31
32 Binks, B. P., Fletcher, P. D. I., Holt, B. L., Beausoubre, P. & Wong, K. Phase Inversion of Particle-
33 Stabilised Perfume Oil-Water Emulsions: Experiment And Theory. *Physical Chemistry Chemical*
34 *Physics* **12**, 11954-11966, doi:10.1039/c0cp00558d (2010).
- 35
36 Jiang, S. & Granick, S. Controlling The Geometry (Janus Balance) of Amphiphilic Colloidal
37 Particles. *Langmuir* **24**, 2438-2445, doi:10.1021/la703274a (2008).
- 38
39 Kim, J. W., Cho, J., Park, B. J., Kim, Y. J. & Choi, K. H. Synthesis of Monodisperse Bi-
40 Compartmentalized Amphiphilic Janus Microparticles for Tailored Assembly at the Oil-Water
41 Interface. *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition* **55**, 4509-4513,
42 doi:10.1002/anie.201600209 (2016).
- 43
44 Kim, J. W., Lee, D., Shum, H. C. & Weitz, D. A. Colloid Surfactants for Emulsion Stabilization.
45 *Advanced Materials* **20**, 3239-+, doi:10.1002/adma.200800484 (2008).
- 46
47 Chen, C. H., Shah, R. K., Abate, A. R. & Weitz, D. A. Janus Particles Templated from Double
48 Emulsion Droplets Generated Using Microfluidics. *Langmuir* **25**, 4320-4323,
49 doi:10.1021/la900240y (2009).
- 50
51 Ge, X. H., Huang, J. P., Xu, J. H., Chen, J. & Luo, G. S. Water-Oil Janus Emulsions: Microfluidic
52 Synthesis and Morphology Design. *Soft Matter* **12**, 3425-3430, doi:10.1039/c6sm00130k (2016).
- 53
54 Kim, S. H., Abbaspourrad, A. & Weitz, D. A. Amphiphilic Crescent-Moon-Shaped Microparticles
55 Formed by Selective Adsorption of Colloids. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **133**, 5516-
56 5524, doi:10.1021/ja200139w (2011).
- 57
58 Shepherd, R. F., Conrad, J. C., Rhodes, S. K., Link, D. R., Marquez, M., Weitz, D. A., Lewis, J. A.
59 Microfluidic Assembly Of Homogeneous and Janus Colloid-Filled Hydrogel Granules. *Langmuir*
60 **22**, 8618-8622, doi:10.1021/la060759+ (2006).
- 18 Zhang, M. Y., Zhao, H., Xu, J. H. & Luo, G. S. Controlled Coalescence of Two Immiscible Droplets
for Janus Emulsions in a Microfluidic Device. *Rsc Advances* **5**, 32768-32774,
doi:10.1039/c5ra01718a (2015).

- 1
2
3 19 Suzuki, D., Tsuji, S. & Kawaguchi, H. Janus Microgels Prepared by Surfactant-Free Pickering
4 Emulsion-Based Modification and Their Self-Assembly. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*
5 **129**, 8088+, doi:10.1021/ja072258w (2007).
6
7 20 Destribats, M., Wolfs, M., Pinaud, F., Lapeyre, V., Sellier, E., Schmitt, V., Ravaine, V. Pickering
8 Emulsions Stabilized by Soft Microgels: Influence of the Emulsification Process on Particle
9 Interfacial Organization and Emulsion Properties. *Langmuir* **29**, 12367-12374,
10 doi:10.1021/la402921b (2013).
11 21 Nie, Z. H., Li, W., Seo, M., Xu, S. Q. & Kumacheva, E. Janus and Ternary Particles Generated by
12 Microfluidic Synthesis: Design, Synthesis, and Self-Assembly. *Journal of the American Chemical*
13 *Society* **128**, 9408-9412, doi:10.1021/ja060882n (2006).
14 22 Batrakova, E. V. & Kabanov, A. V. Pluronic Block Copolymers: Evolution of Drug Delivery Concept
15 from Inert Nanocarriers to Biological Response Modifiers. *Journal of Controlled Release* **130**, 98-
16 106, doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2008.04.013 (2008).
17 23 Jalaal, M., Cottrell, G., Balmforth, N. & Stoeber, B. On The Rheology of Pluronic F127 Aqueous
18 Solutions. *Journal of Rheology* **61**, 139-146, doi:10.1122/1.4971992 (2017).
19 24 Martin, J. D. & Velankar, S. S. Unusual Behavior of PEG/PPG/Pluronic Interfaces Studied by a
20 Spinning Drop Tensiometer. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* **322**, 669-674,
21 doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2008.03.050 (2008).
22 25 Shah, R. K., Shum, H. C., Rowat, A. C., Lee, D., Agresti, J. J., Utada, A. S., Chu, L. Y., Kim, J. W.,
23 Fernandez-Nieves, A., Martinez, C. J., Weitz, D. A. Designer Emulsions Using Microfluidics.
24 *Materials Today* **11**, 18-27, doi:10.1016/s1369-7021(08)70053-1 (2008).
25 26 Nunes, J. K., Tsai, S. S. H., Wan, J. & Stone, H. A. Dripping and Jetting in Microfluidic Multiphase
27 Flows Applied to Particle and Fibre Synthesis. *Journal of Physics D-Applied Physics* **46**,
28 doi:10.1088/0022-3727/46/11/114002 (2013).
29 27 Utada, A. S., Fernandez-Nieves, A., Stone, H. A. & Weitz, D. A. Dripping to Jetting Transitions in
30 Coflowing Liquid Streams. *Physical Review Letters* **99**, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.094502
31 (2007).
32 28 Utada, A. S., Chu, L. Y., Fernandez-Nieves, A., Link, D. R., Holtze, C., Weitz, D. A. Dripping, Jetting,
33 Drops, and Wetting: The Magic of Microfluidics. *Mrs Bulletin* **32**, 702-708,
34 doi:10.1557/mrs2007.145 (2007).
35 29 Castro-Hernandez, E., Gundabala, V., Fernandez-Nieves, A. & Gordillo, J. M. Scaling the Drop Size
36 in Coflow Experiments. *New Journal of Physics* **11**, doi:10.1088/1367-2630/11/7/075021 (2009).
37 30 Quesada-Perez, M., Maroto-Centeno, J. A., Forcada, J. & Hidalgo-Alvarez, R. Gel Swelling
38 Theories: The Classical Formalism and Recent Approaches. *Soft Matter* **7**, 10536-10547,
39 doi:10.1039/c1sm06031g (2011).
40 31 Sperling, L. H. *Introduction to Physical Polymer Science, 4th Edition.* (2006).
41 32 Lin, S., Sangaj, N., Razafiarison, T., Zhang, C. & Varghese, S. Influence of Physical Properties of
42 Biomaterials on Cellular Behavior. *Pharmaceutical Research* **28**, 1422-1430, doi:10.1007/s11095-
43 011-0378-9 (2011).
44 33 Bentz, K. C., Walley, S. E. & Savin, D. A. Solvent Effects on Modulus of Poly(Propylene Oxide)-
45 Based Organogels as Measured by Cavitation Rheology. *Soft Matter* **12**, 4991-5001,
46 doi:10.1039/c6sm00431h (2016).
47 34 Dou, Q. Q., Karim, A. & Loh, X. J. Modification of Thermal and Mechanical Properties of PEG-
48 PPG-PEG Copolymer (F127) with MA-POSS. *Polymers* **8**, doi:10.3390/polym8090341 (2016).
49 35 Malmsten, M. & Lindman, B. Self-Assembly In Aqueous Block Copolymer Solutions.
50 *Macromolecules* **25**, 5440-5445, doi:10.1021/ma00046a049 (1992).
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 36 Vancoillie, G., Frank, D. & Hoogenboom, R. Thermoresponsive Poly(Oligo Ethylene Glycol
4 Acrylates). *Progress in Polymer Science* **39**, 1074-1095, doi:10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2014.02.005
5 (2014).
6
7 37 Steinhauer, W., Hoogenboom, R., Keul, H. & Moeller, M. Copolymerization of 2-Hydroxyethyl
8 Acrylate and 2-Methoxyethyl Acrylate via RAFT: Kinetics and Thermoresponsive Properties.
9 *Macromolecules* **43**, 7041-7047, doi:10.1021/ma101122b (2010).
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60