Visualizing the Strain Field in Semiflexible Polymer Networks: Strain Fluctuations and Nonlinear Rheology of F-Actin Gels
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We image semiflexible polymer networks under shear at the micrometer scale. By tracking embedded probe particles, we determine the local strain field, and directly measure its uniformity, or degree of nonaffineness, on scales of 2–100 μm. The degree of nonaffine strain depends upon the polymer length and cross-link density, consistent with theoretical predictions. We also find a direct correspondence between the uniformity of the microscale strain and the nonlinear elasticity of the networks in the bulk.
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Actin is an abundant protein found in eukaryotic cells. In vitro, actin can polymerize to form semiflexible filaments (F-actin). A myriad of actin binding proteins can both cross-link and bundle these filaments, resulting in an elastic network. Cross-linked F-actin networks are an excellent model system with which to study the physics of semiflexible polymer networks; they can also provide insight into the mechanical properties of the cell. These networks exhibit remarkable mechanical behavior. Unlike conventional polymer gels, they are highly elastic even for small volume fractions of protein. Moreover, their elasticity can be highly nonlinear: For highly cross-linked networks, the elasticity exhibits a striking increase in stiffness with strain [1–3]; by contrast, for weakly cross-linked networks the elasticity exhibits a decrease in stiffness with strain on long time scales [4]. Understanding the origin of this behavior remains an important challenge. Several models for the microscopic origin of this nonlinear elasticity have been proposed [3,5,6]. Densely cross-linked networks are predicted to deform affinely, or uniformly, throughout the sample [7–9]; then network stiffening results from entropic stretching of individual semiflexible filaments [1,3,5,10]. By contrast, weakly cross-linked networks are predicted to deform nonaffinely, with a response dominated by bending of individual filaments [7–9,11] which is not expected to lead to strain stiffening [1]. Thus, a critical parameter to test existing models of nonlinear elasticity is the degree to which the network deforms nonaffinely. Experimental measures of this require imaging the network strain field [12], and quantitatively analyzing the degree of nonaffinity.

In this Letter, we directly image the microscale strain field of sheared F-actin networks and measure the resulting nonaffinity [13]. Network deformation becomes more affine at higher cross-link density or longer filament length, which correlates directly with the simultaneous appearance of nonlinear elasticity of these networks.

To form F-actin networks and to control their structure and connectivity, we use the cross-link scruin [1,14]. Scruin decorates the actin filaments and scruin-scruin interactions lead to the cross-linking, and, at higher concentrations, some filament bundling [15,16]. The scruin cross-links are both rigid and irreversible, ensuring that the network response reflects that of the actin filaments alone [1,10,17]. Furthermore, at low enough scruin concentration, it has been shown that the resulting networks are homogeneous, making this a good model system for our study. We vary the degree of cross-linking by altering the molar ratio of scruin to actin concentrations, \( R = c_S/c_A \). In addition, the average contour length of F-actin, \( L \), is regulated through addition of the actin severing and capping protein, gelsolin [2,18].

We purify scruin from the acrosomal process of the Limulus sperm [19,20]. We gently mix monomeric actin, scruin, gelsolin, and 10x F-buffer (20 mM Tris HCl, 20 mM MgCl₂, 1 M KCl, 2 mM DTT, 2 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM ATP, pH 7.5) at 4 °C, and add fluorescent carboxylate-modified latex particles of radius 0.5 μm as probes. The solution is loaded immediately into the shear cell, surrounded by mineral oil to prevent drying, and incubated for 1 h at 25 °C. Confocal imaging confirms that all samples are homogeneous networks without bundles [21].

We use an optical shear cell mounted on a confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 510-Meta), enabling 3D visualization of a sample. The sample is sheared between a fixed top plate, 6 mm in diameter, and a movable microscope cover slip, as shown in the inset of Fig. 1. The gap is ~100 μm and the plates are parallel to within 1 μm over the shear zone. A piezoelectric actuator moves the bottom cover slip up to 30 μm, resulting in strains of at most 30%. For larger strains, we use a cell whose top plate is moved by a micrometer. We find empirically that coating both plates with bovine serum albumin prevents slipping at the surface. At each applied strain, we first collect several images of a single plane and confirm there is no slip or drift. We then collect a z stack of 121 frames, each separated by 300 nm. We determine the 3D positions of the centers of the ~200 particles in the sample, and monitor each of their trajectories [18,22], with a resolution of...
microrheology sample. By contrast, for a more weakly cross-linked network \((c_A = 1 \text{ mg/ml}, R = 0.06)\), \(c'\) probed by 2P microrheology becomes dominant only at low frequencies and increases with frequency, but is independent of applied \(\gamma\), up to 36%, as shown by the lines in Fig. 2(b). Identical results, independent of applied stress, are again obtained using bulk rheology, as shown by the symbols in Fig. 2(b).

To probe the microscopic deformation, we compare the relative positions of pairs of particles before and after shear. We show this schematically for two particles separated by distance \(r\) in the inset of Fig. 3(a). The solid line represents the actual separation vector between the particles after the strain is applied while the dashed line represents this separation vector if their motion had been strictly affine. The deviation is characterized by the angle \(\Delta \theta\), and a corresponding distance, \(r\Delta \theta\). We define the degree of nonaffinity at a length scale \(r\) as \(N(r) = \langle r^2 \Delta \theta^2 \rangle / r^2\), where we average over all pairs of particles separated by \(r\). This is equivalent to the method used in Ref. [7], except that our normalization is more sensitive to small changes in \(\Delta \theta\).

For all samples, we find that \(N(r)\) is independent of the applied strain. Given the normalization of \(N(r)\), this means that the amplitude of nonaffine deformation is linear in \(\gamma\). For example, for a highly cross-linked sample \((c_A = 1 \text{ mg/ml}, R = 0.06)\), \(N(r)\) is identical for different \(\gamma\)'s, as shown by the overlay of the three sets of data plotted as squares in Fig. 3(b). This independence of \(N(r)\) on \(\gamma\) also
confirms that our measurements are not influenced by noise or uncertainty in position, which will not increase with γ. Moreover, we estimate that uncertainty due to thermal motion of the particles is about 10 times smaller than what we measure. At shorter r, however, the smaller number of bead pairs increases the statistical uncertainty. We find $N(r) \sim r^{0.6}$, as indicated by the solid line in Fig. 3(b). When the cross-link density is decreased ($c_A = 0.75$ mg/ml, $R = 0.01$; $c_A = 0.5$ mg/ml, $R = 0.002$), the magnitude of $N(r)$ increases while the exponent decreases, but the data remain independent of γ, as shown by the circles and triangles in Fig. 3(b). The parameter $N(r)$ measures the degree of nonaffinity at a length scale r. To interpret the r dependence, we consider the case when r is smaller than a correlation length, $l_0$. The relative deformation of two points separated by r along a filament can have two limiting behaviors depending on the filament rigidity. Very rigid filaments or bundles will lead to highly correlated deformations for $r < l_0 \sim L$, since the filament will behave like a rod and rotate under shear. The deviation from affine deformation characterized by $\Delta \theta$ will then be independent of r, leading to $N(r) \sim r^2$ for $r < l_0$. In contrast, if the two points are not connected by a filament, or if the filament is very flexible, the points will tend to move independently, resulting in $N(r) \sim r^0$. However, this behavior will be highly sensitive to the presence of intervening filaments; as a result, we expect large sample-to-sample variations in $N(r)$ for small separations.

For larger r, we expect some residual correlations for densely cross-linked networks. We assume this can be roughly approximated by a power law $N(r) \sim r^\alpha$, with $0 < \alpha < 2$ when $r > l_0$. As the networks become less dense or more poorly connected, we expect the correlations to be weaker; as a result, $\alpha$ decreases toward 0. Moreover, due to a smaller energy cost for strain fluctuations in more weakly cross-linked networks, the magnitude of $N(r)$ will increase with decreasing cross-linking for all r, as summarized in Fig. 3(a). This is consistent with simulation results [7], which indicate that $l_0 \approx L$. While the current simulations [6–9,24] are limited to 2D, the same qualitative behavior is expected in 3D. In our experiments, the smallest r we can probe is $\sim 2 \mu$m; smaller r would require much smaller particles and thus much better resolution than we currently achieve. Nevertheless, the r dependence of $N(r)$ we measure at larger r, as well as the magnitude of $N(r)$ for networks with different cross-link densities, are entirely consistent with this picture. Specifically, we observe a decrease of $\alpha$ from 0.6 to 0.3 with decreasing cross-link density.

Decreasing L should produce much the same effect on $N(r)$ as decreasing the cross-link density, since there will be fewer cross-links per filament at the same R. For a fixed cross-link density ($c_A = 1$ mg/ml, $R = 0.06$), $N(r)$ indeed increases as L decreases from 10 $\mu$m to $1 \mu$m, through addition of increasing concentration of gelsolin, as shown in Fig. 3(c), further supporting the theoretical prediction.

To quantify the combined effect of both cross-link density and L on network connectivity, we use a dimensionless microstructure parameter $L/\lambda$, where $\lambda \sim l_c(l_c/l_b)^{1/3}$, with $\lambda$ the distance along a filament over which the deformation is nonaffine [7], $l_c$ the mean distance between cross-links and $l_b$ a length of order the filament radius. Thus, the ratio $L/\lambda$ essentially measures the number of cross-links per filament, which characterizes how strongly connected the network is. Although $l_c$ is not independently measured, previous studies of the nonlinear properties of actin-scrin networks have shown that $l_c \sim c_A^{-1/2} R^{-0.6}$ for unshortened actin filaments [1,25]; we assume that this same dependence also applies upon addition of gelsolin. Using this, we can estimate $L/\lambda$ up to an unknown pre-
measures the average deviation from affinity, quantity to facilitate comparison among many samples. It measures are possible [26], including a single scalar value of $L=\lambda_0.0021$, cross-link density or $L=\lambda_0.0133$.

To examine the important role of various physiological cross-links such as filamin and $\alpha$-actinin in this nonlinear response [2,23,27]. While our results are qualitatively consistent with prior 2D simulations and theories [6–9,24], 3D simulations or theory are still necessary for a deeper understanding of cytoskeletal and other biopolymer networks.
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We have directly probed the microscopic deformation of F-actin networks, while simultaneously measuring the nonlinear rheology using microrheology. This technique provides a promising tool to explore the origin of the striking nonlinear properties of F-actin and other semi-flexible polymer networks, and to examine the important role of various physiological cross-links such as filamin and $\alpha$-actinin in this nonlinear response [2,23,27].